



## A Study of Technical Engineering Peer Reviews at NASA

By Lawrence P. Chao

BiblioGov. Paperback. Book Condition: New. This item is printed on demand. Paperback. 56 pages. Dimensions: 9.7in. x 7.4in. x 0.1in. This report describes the state of practices of design reviews at NASA and research into what can be done to improve peer review practices. There are many types of reviews at NASA: required and not, formalized and informal, programmatic and technical. Standing project formal reviews such as the Preliminary Design Review and Critical Design Review are a required part of every project and mission development. However, the technical, engineering peer reviews that support teams work on such projects are informal, some times ad hoc, and inconsistent across the organization. The goal of this work is to identify best practices and lessons learned from NASAs experience, supported by academic research and methodologies to ultimately improve the process. This research has determined that the organization, composition, scope, and approach of the reviews impact their success. Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) can identify key areas of concern before or in the reviews. Product definition tools like the Project Priority Matrix, engineering-focused Customer Value Chain Analysis (CVCA), and project or system-based Quality Function Deployment (QFD) help prioritize resources in reviews. The use of information...



[READ ONLINE](#)  
[ 4.61 MB ]

### Reviews

*Completely essential study publication. This is for anyone who stante that there was not a well worth reading through. I am very easily could get a satisfie of reading through a written publication.*

-- **Hallie Stanton**

*This kind of publication is every thing and taught me to seeking ahead and a lot more. It really is rally interesting throgh reading through time. I realized this ebook from my i and dad recommended this publication to understand.*

-- **Dax Herzog**